

GREB project - Senior managers trip to EU universities


24 responses

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE



In which EU university have you been hosted for the GREB visit?

24 responses



Name and Surname (optional, this form could remain anonymous)

22 responses

Teshabaev Tulkin

Vladimir Erofeev

Vladimir Andreev

Natlia Chionova

Gogina Elena


Zinaida Ivanova

Pavel Chelyshkov

- Lodoiravsal Choimaa
- Gunjee Zorig
- Dmitry Anufriev
- Iuliiya Lezhnina
- Liudmila Boronina
- Igor Pichugin
- Ilkhomjon Siddikov
- Karimjon Mullaboev
- Azjargal Janchiv
- Petr Senin
- Zafarbek Rakhimov
- Vladimir Smirnov
- Olga Bakhareva
- Rinat Safiullin
- Rashit Nizamov


Institution (optional)

22 responses




To what extent did the objectives of the meeting satisfy your expectations? [1=minimum; 5=maximum]

24 responses




To what extent were the visit useful for your professional life?

24 responses




To what extent were the visit useful for your institution?

24 responses




To what extent were the visit connected with the achievement of the objectives of GREB project?

24 responses




To what extent did the visit allow you exchange opinions and experience with the partners?

24 responses




How do you mark the organisation and the facilities at your disposal during the visit?

24 responses




Did you receive the documentation about the meeting in due time?

24 responses




How would you evaluate the location(s) of the visit?

24 responses



How would you evaluate the social aspects of the visit?

24 responses



Which aspects of the visit do you consider the best?

24 responses

Meeting with our colleagues from different country and exchanging informations with him, got familiar with studying processes and documentations of the Curricula of UPM

The presentation and discussion of curriculum in the sphere of green building and exchange of experience with partners.

Location University and hotel in Kuchl

Networking

Opportunity to share experiences

organisation of event

Familiarization with curricula for masters, Familiarization with the technologies of reconstruction of LAkivla

Possibility of studying with work experience

reconstruction process and smart city planning after earthquake

Real works on Smart City

Introduction to Proyectos Piloto de Rehabilitación Energética Integral (PREI). This projects are examples of how to perform a complex reconstruction of a building in order to obtain energy consumption almost equal to zero.

Visit different construction companies working on the same construction object

lectures in KTH

the great variety of speakers on different topics tailored in accordance with the participants' interests and specializations in frame of the upcoming project activities

High qualification level of Professors-Trainers, new laboratory equipments, new materials for research and training, hospitality,

new informations about implementation of ICT

The presentation about the Green building and Live in Lab

The visit to University of Maribor.

Study trip to Graz

Possibility to exchange information concerning sustainability (smart, green and clean technologies) in master programs in civil engineering

Moving from academy to industry

Visit of ECO Silver house (practical use of green technologies in construction)

Organization of the seminar, a meeting with the management of the university, the work of the interpreter

Organisation of the visit; possibility to see in practice the construction sites;

Which aspects of the visit do you consider the worst?

24 responses

No aspects (2)

Not was worst moments of the visit

There is no such aspects.

Hostel in Graz! Visit to the Franciscan Monastery in Graz (only for architects).

none

no

financial issue

-

No

institutional research information system

I hope to get PPTs of meetings soon.

Nothing

Take only general representation about educational programs

Accommodation in hostel

cultural program

Few practical and laboratory exercises

I didn't see the worst aspects

Every aspects were wonderful for me

The visit to University of Primorska was not very well organized.

No observed

In my opinion, there are no such aspects

Moving from city to city

Because of the early departure, it was not possible to get acquainted with the lists of professional competencies in the bachelor's and master's programs, it was not possible to copy examples of coursework and diploma projects, it was not possible to see the beginning of the "Project Week" and examples of assignments performed by students in practice.

Which aspects of the visit do you consider the most useful?

24 responses

Got familiar with studying and research processes, which organized in UPM. All is very fine.

The visit of research laboratory of heat power engineering.

Lectures in Kuchl

Networking again

new contacts

visit to industry and university

Studying examples of developing competencies for students

Possibility of studying with work experience

interdisciplinary research that refers to smart cities and smart buildings

Visiting the laboratories

Visiting UPM school of Architecture. Introduction to educational programs: Master in City Sciences and Master of Advanced Studies in Collective Housing

Study the practical issues of implementation of automated systems

Meetings with foreign colleagues

meetings on the topic of the internationalization of educational programs

The educational processes organized at a good level

modern information and study materials

Master degree program development of KTH

The most useful was the presentation of integrated approach to green building/exploitation/green facilities and transportation system design. The presentations of REMTY Rd.o.o and Building and Civil Engineering Institute ZRMK were very interesting. The presentation of sustainable building curriculum at the University of Maribor was also very relevant for the project and for me as vice-rector of the University.

Exchange opinions and experience with the partners

Discussions concerning both advantages of novel types of master programs (such as joint programs) and complexities (e.g. financial ones) of their implementation

Academic issues

Study of the experience of state regulation of education activities in the field of Nearly Zero Energy Building ; use of natural building materials, architectural features of design of energy efficiency houses

Acquaintance with the contents of the curriculum of the bachelor's program "Intellectual building - Energy-saving construction technologies" and the master's program "Intellectual buildings in smart cities - Energy infrastructure and reconstruction of the district"

Observation of different Master programs; exchange experience with colleagues and students

Which aspects of the visit do you consider the less useful?

24 responses

No aspects (2)

Haven't less useful aspects of the visit and collaborations with Professors of the UPM

No such aspects

Part of travel in Graz

none

no

I don't think so

-

No

evaluation of teaching

Nothing

Nothing

this is not identified

organization of lectures in main building only

visiting the highly specialized laboratories

Many hours are devoted to theoretical studies

Little time is devoted to practical lessons

Boreholes for the Green building seems like not beneficial in Mongolian condition

Everything was useful. The Slovenian experience is different from Russian one and I learned a lot in terms of education, research, green building technologies and approaches, organization of visits, way of thinking.

No observed

In my opinion, there are no such aspects

Presentations by partners

No aspects.

Which characteristics of the visit contributed to its effectiveness?

24 responses

Very correctly planned and organized all aspects of the visit

The well designed structure of the visit with the balanced combination of the following activities: presentations, roundtable discussions and visit to green buildings and energy efficient industrial sites were very .

Interesting and informative lectures in Kuchl. Accurate compliance with the regulations.

Host

good organisation of meeting

presentation by local professors

Careful preparation of the meeting

A large number of professors involved

Presentation and discussion

Visiting the different departments.

Good organization of the visit. Readiness of colleagues to share their experiences

A good selection of construction companies and visits to a wide range of faculties

Good organization, good teachers, useful lectures, useful meetings with foreign colleagues

The meeting program was developed in accordance with the project objectives, all activities were implemented perfectly, all participants received a huge amount of information to use it in their home universities

Attracting highly qualified specialists and professors to the educational process

In study processes participating differential professor and research

Various kind of information about Green building and Smart city were very effective

Close interactions in the group, time for discussions, outstanding site visit, balanced program (information input/discussions, social program)

Visit to lab in the Salzburg University of Applied Sciences

The visit was almost perfectly organized; the events and following discussions were constructive and fruitful; there were a lot of spokespersons that are professionals in their field of activity.

Exchange minds in format face to face

Practice oriented information delivery about green building on real objects

Visit to the laboratory for automation and management of heating and ventilation systems, an excursion to the city of Graz on sites where modern energy-saving construction technologies are used

Optimal number of days for such type of visit

What advice would you give to the partner institutions to improve the

results of the next activities?

24 responses

1. Have possibility get more practical and experimental lessons, work with concrete professors, chairs and Departments.
2. Get familiar with scientific works and laboratories of Professors.
3. Need more time for visits of professors of TUIT

The results of the visit could be more useful if translation to Russian were provided. The partner universities in Russia can take care of it.

Exclude from the program a visit to Graz. Luchshe show smart houses in Salzburg. Conduct an excursion to the company Siemens

none

nothing

to invite more participants

Classrooms attendance, attending lecture hall

No

Give presentation material to the participants before their visit

Needed more practical demonstrations

All partners must respond quickly to requests

If it possible to increase the period of visits

To organize more sightseeing in labs

I would advice to add more activities outside the university campus. We visited Sodertalje and it was a great experience and it would be great if we could visit some enterprises also

In advance it is necessary to provide educational material
More need to organize practical exercises

More practical classes

Lets organize field trip to the Green buildings with practical experiences during workshop.

It could be useful if we have special Study visit pages at the project web-site to post presentations and discussions.

I think such kind of projects is more effective to improve experience

Since the visitors are usually from different institutions, it is advisable at first to clearly state the purpose of any particular events that are planned during meeting or workshop.

Meeting with local students as partners in study governance


To provide brief annotation of theoretical and practical aspects of new courses concerned the projects (knowledge, skills and competencies) in the field of specialization of universities with the list of references, didactic materials and available didactic books attached. The aim is formation of knowledge base concerned the project

It would be important to organize negotiations with the head of the univeristy on further deeper cooperation between KSUAE and SUAS within the framework of the Erasmus + project and in other future programs: on academic exchange of students, on trainings for teachers to conduct research and study the methods of teaching in Universities.

There should be more homogeneous selection of participants working equally well and experts in the same scientific and educational field

How would you generally evaluate the meeting?

24 responses



This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Additional Terms

Google Forms